



Coventry City Council

SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

**WEEK COMMENCING 17 SEPTEMBER
2012**

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 28 SEPTEMBER
2012**

21 SEPTEMBER 2012

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member (City Development) – 17th September, 2012

Report 5 Council response to a petition to re-name Broadgate as 'Godiva Square'.

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Development) is requested to: -

1. Note the petitioner's request.
2. Approve that no further action is taken in relation to the renaming of Broadgate.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 6 Disposal of land at Poole Road, Coventry

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Development) is asked to consider the content of this report when considering the recommendation in the report in the private part of this agenda.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 7 Coventry City Centre Business Improvement District (BID) –Business Planning 2013-2018

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Development) is asked to consider the content of this report when considering the recommendation in the report in the private part of this agenda.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 8 Outstanding Issues

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Development) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Cabinet Member (City Services) – 19th September, 2012

Report 4 Petition Requesting Reinstatement of Verges and Hard-Standing on Park Avenue

Recommendations

Cabinet Member (City Services) is recommended to:

- i) note that repairs have been carried out since the date of the petition to address the immediate issues;
- ii) endorse that officers will continue to carry out routine inspection of Park Avenue as part of their normal duties and take further remedial action as required.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 5 Petition – Request for Residents Only Parking in Argyll Street

Recommendations

Cabinet Member (City Services) is recommended to approve that the request for a residents only parking scheme on Argyll Street is retained on the list of requests awaiting funding from future S106 contributions associated with a major development. At the time when funding becomes available, surveys will be carried out to determine the nature and extent of a parking scheme for Argyll Street and neighbouring streets.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 6 Objections to Proposed Traffic Regulation Order creating Pay on Street Parking.

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member is recommended to:

1. Consider the objections to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order.
2. Subject to recommendation 1 approve the making in part, (i.e. not the part relating to Sunday tariffs), as described in 2.5.2, of the City of Coventry (City Centre Restricted Parking Zone) (Permitted Parking Area and Special Parking Area) (Designation, Waiting Restrictions, Loading Restrictions, Loading Areas and Street Parking Places) Order 2012.
3. Approve that a detailed benchmarking exercise of Sunday tariffs be undertaken without delay, after which a proposal on the level of

Sunday charges will be agreed with the Cabinet Member and re-advertised for a period of 21 days commencing in October 2012, with any unresolved objections, where appropriate, being reported to the Cabinet Member (City Services) for a decision as to how to proceed

4. Approve that the availability of parking and level of on street parking charges are continued to be kept under review.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 7 Outstanding Issues

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Services) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. it falls within paragraph 4.5.26 of the Scrutiny rules (part 4 of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.